The Evolution of the Publication Process: How OnScientia Is Dismantling Structural Barriers for Researchers

Months of waiting for a first decision are common in scholarly publishing. OnScientia's model takes effect before a manuscript even exists.



Dr. Mathias Kunze, Editor-in-Chief of OnScientia, on dismantling structural barriers for researchers.



Dr. Mathias Kunze, Editor-in-Chief of OnScientia, at the Editorial Office.



Dr. Mathias Kunze, Editor-in-Chief of OnScientia, with students representing the next generation of academic researchers.

MIAMI, FL, UNITED STATES, October 27, 2026 / EINPresswire.com/ -- Lengthy peer review procedures, rejections on formal grounds, and the narrow disciplinary focus of many journals frequently shape the path to scholarly publication. OnScientia – International Journal of Business, Law & Health addresses these systemic obstacles with a publication model that ensures transparency, reliability, and predictability even before a manuscript is written.

The scale of these obstacles is well documented. According to data from the SciRev platform, authors receive an editorial decision based on peer review an average of 13 weeks after first submitting a manuscript. Only 19 percent of authors receive this decision within one month. The situation is particularly severe for rejected manuscripts: their authors learn of the rejection after an average of 16 weeks, four weeks later than authors whose manuscripts are ultimately accepted. Because a manuscript remains exclusively bound to the respective journal for the entire duration of peer review, it cannot be submitted elsewhere. Only after rejection may it be submitted to another journal. Yet even a rejection based solely on editorial screening often takes considerable time. Huisman & Smits examined this in a study published in the journal Scientometrics. According to their findings, one third of all journals take more than two weeks for such a decision, and one sixth take more than four weeks. In their evaluation, the authors of the study identify inefficient editorial processes as a significant factor. Doctoral candidates in publication-based doctoral programs are particularly affected: because their dissertations rest on previously accepted publications, every delay in peer review directly impedes their progress toward the degree.

> **"The duration and unpredictability of conventional peer review procedures place a considerable burden on researchers, particularly in the early stages of their careers."**
> *— Dr. Mathias Kunze*

Against this background, OnScientia has developed a publication model that supports researchers as early as the conceptual phase through its Topic & Research Pre-Assessment. The Editorial Board evaluates the topic, research approach, methodology, and expected results of a planned research project in advance, free of charge and without obligation, before the manuscript is even written. Researchers thus learn at an early stage whether their research approach and the soundness of their research design meet the journal's requirements. A research project need not be confined to a single area of business, law, or health. While many journals focus exclusively on individual disciplines, OnScientia brings these disciplines together in one scholarly journal. Researchers can therefore submit empirically grounded articles both within a single field and across fields. In addition, mandatory classification according to JEL, JEL-K, and MeSH makes these articles accessible to readers in all three fields. The editorial team verifies these classifications as part of the desk compliance check during submission. This review also covers consistency with the journal's scholarly profile and the formal presentation of the manuscript. Formal deficiencies do not lead to rejection. Instead, authors receive their manuscript back for revision and may then resubmit it to the process. After successful completion of the desk compliance check, the manuscript is reviewed by two independent external reviewers in a double-blind process. The assessment is based on the Author Guidelines, under which the empirical foundations, the methodological approach, the validity of the research findings, and the scholarly contribution are evaluated consistently. To ensure this consistency, all reviewers complete an internal certification program before their official appointment. On the basis of both reviews, the Editor-in-Chief makes the first decision no later than six weeks after submission: acceptance, minor revision, major revision, or rejection of the manuscript. Authors also always receive the reasons for the decision.

"The duration and unpredictability of conventional peer review procedures place a considerable burden on researchers, particularly in the early stages of their careers," said Dr. Mathias Kunze, Editor-in-Chief of OnScientia. "Our aim is therefore to systematically reduce these uncertainties, in particular through the evaluation of research projects before the manuscript is written, through openness to interdisciplinary research, through the correction of formal deficiencies instead of rejection, and through a binding first decision within six weeks. Scholarly quality and predictability are not mutually exclusive; they reinforce each other."

OnScientia's publication model shows how structural obstacles in scholarly publishing can be reduced through early evaluation, interdisciplinary openness, and binding decision timeframes. While the free Pre-Assessment evaluates planned research projects before the manuscript is written, the opportunity to correct formal deficiencies reduces the risk of avoidable rejections. The six-week limit for the first decision provides predictability without restricting peer review. In this way, OnScientia combines preventive quality assurance, standardized review criteria, and reliable timelines. For researchers in publication-based doctoral programs in particular, this can help reduce publication-related delays.

Dr. Mathias Kunze
ONSCIENTIA PRESS LLC
+1 786-420-3110

Contact

Dr. Mathias Kunze
ONSCIENTIA PRESS LLC
+1 786-420-3110
email us here

Share This Story

Facebook
X
LinkedIn
Copy
Print
PDF

More From This Source

The Evolution of the Publication Process: How OnScientia Is Dismantling Structural Barriers for Researchers

View All Stories From This Source

+1 786-420-3110
Visit us on social media:
LinkedIn
Bluesky
Facebook
YouTube

Editor-in-Chief's Keynote by Dr. Mathias Kunze on Peer Review & Open Access | OnScientia





Powered by EIN PRESSWIRE
Distribution channels: Business & Economy, Education, Healthcare & Pharmaceuticals Industry, Law, Science

Legal Disclaimer:

EIN Presswire provides this news content "as is" without warranty of any kind. We do not accept any responsibility or liability for the accuracy, content, images, videos, licenses, completeness, legality, or reliability of the information contained in this article. If you have any complaints or copyright issues related to this article, kindly contact the author above.

Submit your press release

News Monitoring and Press Release Distribution Tools

News Topics
- All News Topics
- Science News Topics
- Science News by Country

Newsletters
- Create Newsletter
- Search Newsletters
- Browse Newsletters

Press Releases
- Submit Press Release
- Browse Featured Press Releases
- Browse All Press Releases
- Market Research Reports

Events & Conferences
- Search Events
- Browse Events

RSS Feeds
- Create RSS Feed
- Search RSS Feeds
- Browse RSS Feeds

Other Services
- World Media Directory
- NewsPlugin for Wordpress
- Blog Op/Ed

Questions?
- About EIN Newsdesk
- How-to Videos
- Terms & Conditions
- Editorial Guidelines
- Privacy Policy
- Contact

- Login
- Sign Up

Science News Today by EIN Newsdesk & EIN Presswire (a press release distribution service)
Follow EIN Presswire on Facebook, LinkedIn and Substack
Newsmatics Inc., 1025 Connecticut Avenue NW, Suite 1000, Washington, DC 20036 · Contact · About
© 1995-2026 Newsmatics Inc. · All Rights Reserved · General Terms & Conditions · Privacy Policy · Cookie Settings

By continuing to use this site, you agree to our Terms & Conditions, last updated on September 30, 2025.